

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/475,856	12/30/1999	JESSE S. HEAD	9D-RG-19294	6966
75	590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
John S Beulick			LEUNG, PHILIP H	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite2600			3742	
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/475,856	HEAD, JESSE S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Philip H Leung	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON alute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	• •			
·	ci Ex parte Quayie, 1999 C.D	. 11, 400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-225 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol he drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burel* See the attached detailed Office action for a light series.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/t Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-22-2002</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/475,856

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 8-25 in the reply filed on July 26,

2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that would not be a burden in

searching both groups. This is not found persuasive because Groups I and II possessed separate

classifications and therefore would have required separate searches. Furthermore, the apparatus

of Group II as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for

instance using the rotary dial input device to control the temperature of the device. It is also

pointed out that the method of Group I does not require the cooking appliance and its control

system as it only recites "a method for controlling". Therefore, the method of Group I can be

practiced with other devices.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-7 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

drawn to a nonelected invention. Election was made with traverse in the reply filed on July 26,

2004.

3. As a result of the election, the Group Art Unit of this application has been changed to

Group Art Unit 3742.

4. The drawings filed 10-28-2002 are acceptable.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/475,856

Art Unit: 3742

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

Page 3

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 19, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee

(US 5,60,611).

Lee shows a microwave oven including a control system comprising a control panel 7

comprising at least one rotary dial input device 10 including switch 66 and knob 63 for selecting

control information for said cooking appliance, said control information comprising at least one

of a cooking mode, a food type, a food weight, a temperature, and a degree of doneness, said

cooking appliance operatively responsive to said selection of said control information from said

rotary dial; and a select switch (switching disc 30, 40 and knob 67) mechanically coupled to said

rotary dial input device, said select switch configured to input said control information to said

cooking appliance (see Figures 1-3 and col. 2, line 14 - col. 7, line 62).

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3742

8. Claims 8-18, 20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 5,60,611), in view of Carmean (US 4,568,810) or McGeorge (US 4,636,621) (both cited by the applicant)

As set forth above, Lee shows every feature and structure as claimed except it does not show the use of its control interface displaying device 140 to provide a prompt to guide a user through selecting said control information, said control interface configured to interact with at least one cooking mode of cooking appliance. Carmean shows that it is well known in the art of microwave ovens to use its display to provide prompts for guiding the user to operate the control of the oven (see Figure 3 and col. 3, line 31 – col. 4, line 51 and col. 8, line 65 – col. 9, line 66. McGeorge also shows an oven control system with a control panel 102 having rotary switching selector 103 which interfaces with the display unit 23B to provide prompts to the user (see Figure 5 and col. 17, lines 23-51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Lee to use its display to interface with the switches to include prompts to the user as a programming guidance for easier operation of the oven control system, in view of the teaching of Carmean or McGeorge. In regard to claim 18, the use of a lightwave mode and/or a microwave mode for cooking is well known in the art of ovens (see the below paragraph).

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Westerberg et al (US 5,517,005) is cited to show a combination oven with microwave and lightwave for cooking having various claimed features (col. 4, lines 52-62).

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 10-14-2004